

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FI       | LING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/792,136              | (        | 03/04/2004     | Vania Cadamuro       | 2004_0187A 4870     |                  |  |
| 513                     | 7590     | 08/17/2005     |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|                         | •        | ID & PONACK, L | SANTOS, ROBERT G     |                     |                  |  |
| 2033 K STR<br>SUITE 800 | EET N. W | <b>'</b> .     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGT                | ON, DC   | 20006-1021     |                      | 3673                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | 10/792,136   | CADAMURO ET AL.   |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|   | Robert G. Santos   | 3673  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   |  |   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3   | MONTH(S) FROM   |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material process of the maximum statutory per  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04  | 1 March 2004.  |   |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T  | his action is non-final.   |   |  |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo   | wance except for formal ma   | atters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde   | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C   | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pen   | ding in the application.   |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without  | = ::   |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5/1-5/3 and 10-18</u> is/are reject  | ted.   | •   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 4, 5/4 and 6-9 is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | d/or election requirement.   |   |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  | iner.  |   |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a  | accepted or b) Dobjected   | o by the Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t   | he drawing(s) be held in abey  | rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr   | rection is required if the drawi   | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attach  | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore   | ign priority under 35 U.S.C  | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)⊡ None of:   |  | ·   |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>   | ents have been received.   |   |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>   | ents have been received in   | Application No  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  | riority documents have be  | en received in this National Stage  |  |
| application from the International Bur  |  |   |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ist of the certified copies n  | ot received.  |  |
| ·   |  |   |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | w Summary (PTO-413)   |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 🗖  | o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 08) 5) Notice 6  |   |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office  | Action Summary   | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 08142005  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No. 10/792,136

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-4, 5/1-5/4, 6/1-6/5, 7/6/1-7/6/5, 8/1-8/7, 9/8/1-9/8/7 and 10-18.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,136

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - 1) In claim 1, line 7: The term "transversally" should be changed to --transversely--.
  - 2) In claim 10, line 2: The number "1" should be changed to --2-- (in order to provide antecedent basis for the differentiated-elasticity zones recited in claim 11).

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 6-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot dependent from any other multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002

Application/Control Number: 10/792,136

Art Unit: 3673

do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-3, 5/1-5/3 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,675,500 to Cadamuro (note especially Figures 1-5B; column 2, lines 38-65; column 3, lines 11-43; and column 4, lines 13-29).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cadamuro '500 in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,241,695 to Dabir. Cadamuro '500 does not specifically disclose

Art Unit: 3673

a condition wherein the cushioning member is used in a seat, protective arrangement, mattress or pillow. Dabir '695 provides the basic teaching of a cushioning member (10) comprising a support surface (14) having a plurality of protrusions (22), wherein the cushioning member may be used in a seat, protective arrangement, mattress or pillow (as shown in Figures 4 & 5 and as described in column 5, lines 1-20). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the cushioning member of Cadamuro '500 into a seat, protective arrangement, mattress or pillow in order to impart enhanced comfort to various body parts of a user as desired.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4 and 5/4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The examiner respectfully asserts that none of the prior art, taken either singly or in combination, is seen to teach or suggest the particular fin structure as recited in claim 4.

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Casey et al. '825, Cadamuro et al. '529, Dabir '077, Dabir '153, Brown, Jr. et al. '177, Strumor '749, Hook, '510 and Forster '342.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,136

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7048. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673 Page 5

R.S. August 14, 2005